UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/682,052	10/09/2003	Kuo Reay Peng	. TS01-037B [N1085-90136]	8179	
8933	7590 02/28/200 DIS 11 D	7	EXAMINER		
DUANE MORRIS, LLP IP DEPARTMENT			JACKSON JR, JEROME		
30 SOUTH 17	TH STREET IIA, PA 19103-4196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
i iiid/ibbbi ii	111,1111,105 1170		2815		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/28/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/682,052	PENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence addres	S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this community DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 D	ecember 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-37 and 39-47</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-37 and 39-47</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		9(a)-(d) or (f).				
Certified copies of the priority document     Contified copies of the priority document		antion No				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<del>-</del>	10			
application from the International Burea		erved iii tiiis įvationai otag	<b>JC</b>			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived.				
	·					
·						
Attachment(s)	🗖	(DTO 415)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) nil Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/682,052

Art Unit: 2815

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the description of PNP transistors 75a...does not correspond to figure 2 showing 70a....

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 29-37, 39-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no range of values or any specific value given, in either the specification or claims, for Vnoise, the "maximum voltage level difference allowed to be present on the internal integrated circuit...". Therefore there is no way to determine the metes and bounds of the claims. At best the value for Vnoise can be considered any value or magnitude.

Claims 29,30,32-40,42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ohnakado, of record.

The previous rejection still applies. The formula limitations do not structurally distinguish over the applied art because the number of diodes in Ohnakado from paragraph [0071] equation 1, equal the same number of diodes claimed with Vnoise chosen to be a small value, say, 1meV. Note the formulas differ by only a value for Vnoise. An arbitrarily small value for Vnoise where Vr>>Vnoise makes the value of "n" for both applicant and Ohnakado to be equal, particularly since fractions of diodes are not possible, ("n" must be an integer).

Application/Control Number: 10/682,052

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 29-37 and 39-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohnakado in view of Jang.

The previous rejection with the above comments applies.

Claims 29-37 and 39-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohnakado with Jang and further in view of Doyle.

The previous rejection with the above comments applies.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/15/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Arguments regarding the claimed formula are not convincing. The formula does not state any particular values for the parameters so virtually any values can arbitrarily be chosen. Furthermore, as stated above, Ohnakado shows a similar formula which arrives at the same "n" value. Finally, the formula allows virtually any value for "n" from 1 to infinity and therefore in no way distinguishes over the applied art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on 571-272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jj

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER